Our reference No: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341

Your reference No: 219494/2658816

15 June 2015

Justice A Lyons Supreme Court of Queensland Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Lyons

Right to Information access application - Contrary to Views

Thank you for your facsimile of 26 May 2015 concerning applications made under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (the RTI Act) by several media organisations and by Mr Alex McKean seeking access to correspondence between judges.

As you will no doubt know by this stage, I have refused access by the applicants to a number of documents that I sent you attached to my letter of 25 May 2015, on grounds that I considered some of the material related to the holder of a judicial office in relation to the court's judicial functions. Other grounds that I decided were relevant public interest factors for consideration included the right to privacy of some individuals, including the Chief Justice, and the potential for the material to undermine public confidence in the judiciary. I have appended to this letter my decision letter of 5 June 2015 addressed to one of the applicants for your information.

As part of my decision-making process, I noted your view that all the documents you had authored should be released in full, and that you did not agree with the redactions I had made regarding Schedule 2,2,(1).

In coming to my decision I carefully considered all the relevant factors under the RTI Act. Particularly, and ultimately, my decision aims to serve the public interest.

Review rights

I have reviewed the meaning of a reviewable decision under Schedule 6 of the RTI Act and have noted that, where a review may be lodged by a relevant third party whose views have been obtained under section 37 of the Act, the right of review is defined as follows:

A decision: (i) to disclose a document contrary to the views of a relevant third party obtained under section 37; or (ii) to disclose a document if an agency or Minister should have taken, but has not taken, steps to obtain the views of a relevant third party under section 37.

As you have not objected to the disclosure of the information, and in fact have clearly supported its release, and you are not the applicant for access to the documents, I note you have no review rights under the RTI Act in relation to my decision.

However, I advise that several of the applicants have lodged an external review request with the Office of the Information Commissioner already, and you may well be considered under section 89(2) to be a person affected by the decision the subject of an external review, as a person consulted under section 37 of the RTI Act, in which case you may apply to the Information Commissioner to participate in any external review relating to this subject matter.

Any application you wish to make in relation to participating in an existing external review should be addressed to:

Office of the Information Commissioner PO Box 10143 Adelaide Street BRISBANE QLD 4000 Phone: 3405 1111

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Änne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Our reference No: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341

Your reference No: 219494/2658816

15 June 2015

Justice Atkinson
Supreme Court of Queensland
Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Atkinson

Right to Information access application – Contrary to Views

Thank you for your letter of 28 May 2015 concerning applications made under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (the RTI Act) by several media organisations and by Mr Alex McKean seeking access to correspondence between judges.

As you will no doubt know by this stage, I have refused access by the applicants to a number of documents that I sent you attached to my letter of 25 May 2015, on grounds that I considered some of the material related to the holder of a judicial office in relation to the court's judicial functions. Other grounds that I decided were relevant public interest factors for consideration included the right to privacy of some individuals, including the Chief Justice, and the potential for the material to undermine public confidence in the judiciary. I have appended to this letter my decision letter of 5 June 2015 addressed to one of the applicants for your information.

As part of my decision-making process, I noted that you identified a number of passages that you did not consider were exempt or that they contained 'contrary to public interest' information. I also noted that you objected to the non-disclosure of many of the documents.

In coming to my decision I carefully considered all the relevant factors under the RTI Act. Particularly, and ultimately, my decision aims to serve the public interest.

Review rights

I have reviewed the meaning of a reviewable decision under Schedule 6 of the RTI Act and have noted that, where a review may be lodged by a relevant third party whose views have been obtained under section 37 of the Act, the right of review is defined as follows:

A decision: (i) to disclose a document contrary to the views of a relevant third party obtained under section 37; or (ii) to disclose a document if an agency or Minister should have taken, but has not taken, steps to obtain the views of a relevant third party under section 37.

As you have not objected to the disclosure of the information, and in fact have clearly supported its release, and you are not the applicant for access to the documents, I note you have no review rights under the RTI Act in relation to my decision.

However, I advise that several of the applicants have lodged an external review request with the Office of the Information Commissioner already, and you may well be considered under section 89(2) to be a person affected by the decision the subject of an external review, as a person consulted under section 37 of the RTI Act, in which case you may apply to the Information Commissioner to participate in any external review relating to this subject matter.

Any application you wish to make in relation to participating in an existing external review should be addressed to:

Office of the Information Commissioner PO Box 10143 Adelaide Street BRISBANE QLD 4000 Phone: 3405 1111

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Änne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Our reference No: 151295, 151297, 151926, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341

Your reference No: 219494/2658816

15 June 2015

Justice Applegarth
Supreme Court of Queensland
Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Applegarth

Right to Information access application - Contrary to Views

Thank you for your letter of 26 May 2015 concerning applications made under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (the RTI Act) by several media organisations and by Mr Alex McKean seeking access to correspondence between judges.

As you will no doubt know by this stage, I have refused access by the applicants to a number of documents that I sent you attached to my letter of 25 May 2015, including your letter to the Chief Justice of 25 March 2015, on grounds that I considered some of the material related to the holder of a judicial office in relation to the court's judicial functions. Other grounds that I decided were relevant public interest factors for consideration included the right to privacy of some individuals, including the Chief Justice, and the potential for the material to undermine public confidence in the judiciary. I have appended to this letter my decision letter of 5 June 2015 addressed to one of the applicants for your information.

As part of my decision-making process, I noted that you did not consider that any information in your letter of 25 March 2015, nor any of the other material, relates to exempt or 'contrary to public interest' information. I also noted that you had no objection to your letter being released. In fact, your letter to me requests that the 25 March letter be disclosed in full.

In coming to my decision I carefully considered all the relevant factors under the RTI Act. Particularly, and ultimately, my decision aims to serve the public interest.

Review rights

I have reviewed the meaning of a reviewable decision under Schedule 6 of the RTI Act and have noted that, where a review may be lodged by a relevant third party whose views have been obtained under section 37 of the Act, the right of review is defined as follows:

A decision: (i) to disclose a document contrary to the views of a relevant third party obtained under section 37; or (ii) to disclose a document if an agency or Minister should have taken, but has not taken, steps to obtain the views of a relevant third party under section 37.

As you have not objected to the disclosure of the information, and in fact have clearly supported its release, and you are not the applicant for access to the documents, I note you have no review rights under the RTI Act in relation to my decision.

However, I advise that several of the applicants have lodged an external review request with the Office of the Information Commissioner already, and you may well be considered under section 89(2) to be a person affected by the decision the subject of an external review, as a person consulted under section 37 of the RTI Act, in which case you may apply to the Information Commissioner to participate in any external review relating to this subject matter.

Any application you wish to make in relation to participating in an existing external review should be addressed to:

Office of the Information Commissioner PO Box 10143 Adelaide Street BRISBANE QLD 4000 Phone: 3405 1111

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit



DECELVED A 0 5 JUN 2015

BY:____

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE JEAN DALTON

3 June 2015

Ms Anne Edwards
Director
Right to Information and Privacy Unit
Department of Justice and Attorney-General
GPO Box 149
BRISBANE QLD 4001

415 George Street Brisbane OLD 4000

PO Box 15167 City East QLD 4002 PH 61 7 32474383 FX 61 7 3229 4364 www.courts.qld.gov.au

Dear Ms Edwards

I refer to your letter of 25 May 2015 together with enclosures.

You enquire whether I wish to object to the release of any of the information you propose to disclose. I do object to your disclosing the Memo from the Chief Justice to me (13 February 2015) unless you also disclose my handwritten notes of the meeting with him to which that memo responds. It is misleading not to do so, it gives no context to his thanking me. Other than this I do not have any objections to you disclosing documents.

I have concerns that there are inconsistencies between the disclosure you propose to make in response to different requests:

- The minutes of meeting 10 February 2015 9.00 am are redacted differently in the bundle you label RTI 151326 to the version given in response to other requests.
- The minutes of meeting 10 March 2015 9.00 am are redacted differently when included in RTI 151326 and RTI 151327.
- The enclosure to the memo Chief Justice to Dalton J 13 February 2015 is included in your bundle RTI 151327 but not in your bundle RTI 151341.

I have a further concern that documents I gave you, which I thought plainly responsive to requests, are not being disclosed. Please confirm to me in writing that the person who has received the requests is the Department of Justice and Attorney-General and not me personally. For clarity, if it were me personally, I would look to making my own compliance with the requests because I cannot see that the compliance you propose to make is proper.

Yours faithfully

The Honourable Justice J H Dalton

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

Your reference: 219494/2658816

25 May 2015

Justice North Supreme Court of Queensland

Dear Justice North

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1.The seat of Ferny Grove 2.The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
•	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
· .	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between
1	judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Ånne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

• Schedules 3 and 4 — Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation

Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG referen	nce: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 15132	9, 151330, 151341, 151345
То:	Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001	
Fax:	07 3006 5929	
•		
Date:		
From:	·	_ (Name)
		_ (Business name, if applicable
		(Address)
application application applicants department of the control of th	(date) pursuant to section of the release of the re	on 37/of the RTI Act 1
☐ I ha	ive no objections to the release of the document	s to the applicant
Signature:	Print name:	

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341,

Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Justice Henry
Supreme Court of Queensland

Dear Justice Henry

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1.The seat of Ferny Grove 2.The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone 07 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between
	judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.gld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

WOLL

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

• Schedules 3 and 4 —Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation

Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345 To: Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General **GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: From: (Name) _____ (Business name, if applicable) (Address) The Department of Justice and Attorney-General (the Department) has received applications for access to documents under the Right to Information Act 2009. The applicants seek access to certain documents held in the possession of the department. On (date): pursuant to section 37 of the RTI Act I received a Third Party Consultation letter of 25 May 2015; A copy of each of the documents was provided to me Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant Signature: Print name:

151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341

Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Justice Jackson Supreme Court of Queensland Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Jackson

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the Right to Information Act 2009 (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone o7 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
Alex Michean	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTi 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
1,111 101010	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

- Response to third party consultation process
- Schedules 3 and 4 —Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345. Right to Information and Privacy Unit To: Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 **BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: From: (Name) (Business name, if applicable) (Address) The Department of Justice and Attorney-General (the Department) has received applications for access to documents under the Right to Information Act 2009. The applicants seek access to certain documents held in the possession of the départment (date) pursuant to section 37 of the RTI Act 1 received a Third Party Consultation letter of 25 May 2015 A copy of each of the documents was provided to me Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant Signature: Print name:

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341,

Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Justice Dalton Supreme Court of Queensland Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Dalton

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone 07 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applicant	Request
	-
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between
	judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by **29 May 2015**.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number **151295**.

Ydurs sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

 Schedules 3 and 4 — Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation

Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345 To: Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 **BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: From: (Name) _____(Business name, if applicable) (Address) The Department of Justice and Attorney-General (the Department) has received applications for access to documents under the Right to Information Act 2009. The applicants seek access to certain documents held in the possession of the department: (date) pursuant to section 37 of the RTI Act received a Third Party/Consultation letter of 25 May 2015. A copy of each of the documents was provided to me Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant Signature: Print name:

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 15 Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Justice Boddice Supreme Court of Queensland Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Boddice

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the Right to Information Act 2009 (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone o7 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
DTI 454044	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court') The constitution of the Court
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
,	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
•	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between
Counter-Iviali	judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
,	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

- Response to third party consultation process
- Schedules 3 and 4 —Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345 To: Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 **BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: From: (Name) (Business name, if applicable) (Address) Tine Department of Sustice and Attorney-General (the Department) has received an application for access to documents from on behalf of . Courier Mail made under the Right to Information Act 2009: The applicant seeks access to certain documents held in the possession of the department. On ______(date); pursuant to section 37 of the RTI Act received a Third Rarty Consultation letter of 25 May 2015. A copy of each of the documents was provided to me. Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant

_ Print name:

Signature:

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 15

Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Justice Applegarth
Supreme Court of Queensland
Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Applegarth

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1.The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2.The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1.The seat of Ferny Grove 2.The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone 07 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applican	t	Request
Alex McK		Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
Alex Mich	ean	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTI 15134	41	2. The constitution of the Court
		The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
ŀ		4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
		5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
		6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
		the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	•	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
		8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
		9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-M	lail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 15134	45	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
		and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
		very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
`		include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
		duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or

 You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

- Response to third party consultation process
- Schedules 3 and 4 Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process under the Right to Information Act 2009

To:	Right to Information an Department of Justice GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001		
Fax:	07 3006 5929		
, 	·		
Date:		_	
From:	<u> </u>	(Name)	
		(Business n	ame, if applicabl
•			(Address
The Dan			
application application application department of the control of t	ons for access to docume is seek access to certain ent. A Third Party Consultatints was provided to me	tomey-General (the Department) has ints (under the Right to Information Addocuments held in the possession of date), pursuant to section 37 of the fon letter of 25 May 2015 A copy of	ct 2009. The the the same and of the each of the same and
application application application department of the control of t	ons for access to docume is seek access to certain ant. ant (a Third Party Consultations was provided to me ck this box if you have no	ents under the Right to information Ac documents held in the possession of date), pursuant to section 37 of the on letter of 25 May 2015. A copy of detections to the release of the documents and the documents of the documents o	the the state of t
application application application department of the control of t	ons for access to docume is seek access to certain ant. ant (a Third Party Consultations was provided to me ck this box if you have no	Ints (under the Right to information Acocuments held in the possession of date); pursuant to section 37 of the fon letter of 25 May 2015. A copy of	the the state of t

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 1

Your reference: 219494/2658816



25 May 2015

Justice Atkinson Supreme Court of Queensland Delivered by hand

Department of Justice and Attorney-General

Dear Justice Atkinson

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the Right to Information Act 2009 (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1.The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2.The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

State Law Building 50 Ann Street Brisbane 4000 GPO Box 149 Brisbane Queensland 4001 Australia Telephone o7 3239 3439 Facsimile (07) 3006 5929 Website www.justice.qld.gov.au ABN 13 846 673 994

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
Alex Micheall	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on
	the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between
	judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents
	and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am
	very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please
	include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

Request for Information

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or

 You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number **151295**.

Yours sincerely

Ánne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

 Schedules 3 and 4 —Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation

Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process under the Right to Information Act 2009

To: .	Right to Information and Department of Justice a GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001		
Fax:	07 3006 5929		
Date:			
From:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Name)	
		(Business	name, if applicable
		•	/A ddrooo\
			(Address)
application department of the control of the contro	ons for access to document seek access to certain of the certain o	mey-General (the Department) had a sunder the Right to Information ocuments held in the possession of the letter of 25 May 2015. A copy objections to the release of the documents to the accuments to the accumen	as received has Acti 2009 The Lot the
application department of the control of the contro	ons for access to document seek access to certain of the certain o	ts under the Right to Information ocuments held in the possession ate.) pursuant to section 37 of the niletter of 25 May 2015. A copy	as received has Acti 2009 The Lot the
application department of the control of the contro	ons for access to document seek access to certain of the certain o	ts under the Right to Information occurrents held in the possession at the possession of the possession of the possession of the miletter of 25 May 2015. A copy objections to the release of the documents and the possession of the documents of the possession of the possession of the documents of	as received has Acti 2009 The Lot the

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

Your reference: 219494/2658816

25 May 2015

Justice A Lyons
Supreme Court of Queensland
Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Lyons

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

Applicant	Request	
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking: 1. The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')	
RTI 151341	2. The constitution of the Court	
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court	
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system	
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court	
-	 6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court 7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator 	
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator	
_	9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.	
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting	
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been	

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.gld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number **151295**.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

- Response to third party consultation process
- Schedules 3 and 4 —Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345 To: Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 **BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: From: ____ (Name) ____ (Business name, if applicable) (Address) The Department of Justice and Attorney General (the Department) has received an application for access to documents from on behalf of Gourier Mail, made under the Right to Information Act 2009. The applicant seeks access to certain documents held in the possession of the department. (date) pursuant to section 37 of the RTLAct. received a Third Rarty Consultation letter of 22 May 2015, Acopy of each of the documents was provided to me. Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant

Signaturo:	Drint name:	

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

Your reference: 219494/2658816

22 May 2015

The Hon J Byrne SJA
Supreme Court of Queensland
Delivered by hand

Dear Justice Byrne

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents from the Supreme Court. The table below details these applications.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail RTI 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1.The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2.The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail RTI 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
RTI 151341	1. The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court') 2. The constitution of the Court
K11 131341	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court 7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator
	Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.
Courier-Mail	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting
RTI 151345	following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude
	duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of the appended documents.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or

 You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 29 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **29 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

- Schedules 3 and 4 Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

То:	Right to Information and Privac Department of Justice and Atto GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001	
Fax:	07 3006 5929	
Date:		
From:		(Name)
		(Business name, if applicable
		(Address)
Right to held in On	o <i>Information Act</i> 2009. The application the possession of the department. (date), p	on behalf of Courier Mail, made under the cant seeks access to certain documents ursuant to section 37 of the RTPAct, I/we of 22 May 2015. A copy of each of the
Please	tick this box if you have no objection	ons to the release of the documents
	I have no objections to the release	of the documents to the applicant
Signatu	uro: Prin	t name:

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

Your reference: 219494/2658816

22 May 2015

The Hon Margaret McMurdo AC President Court of Appeal Delivered by hand

Dear President

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you may know, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications under the *Right to Information Act 2009* (RTI Act) for access to documents held by members of the Supreme Court of Queensland. The details of these applications are outlined in the table below.

Applicant	Request
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.
Courier-Mail 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1. The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2. The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.
Guardian Australia 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns. I note these documents relate to the administration functions of the court - rather than judicial functions - and should not be appropriately considered exempt under Schedule 2 of the Right to Information Act 2009.
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1. The seat of Ferny Grove 2. The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.
Courier-Mail 151330	All documents relating to Chief Justice Tim Carmody dismissing Justice John Byrne from the role of senior judge administrator, and Justice Byrne's reinstatement.

Applicant	Request
Alex McKean	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking:
AICX HICKCOIT	The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court')
151341	2. The constitution of the Court
	3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court
	4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system
·	5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court
	6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on the
	roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court
	7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator
	8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge
	Administrator
	9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge
	Administrator.
0	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial
Courier-Mail	officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting following the
1513245	election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents and/or
	correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am very
	interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please include
	reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude duplicates, media
	clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of a range of documents which I have appended to this letter (I apologise in advance for the multiple copies of some documents, but the approach I have taken will assist when I consider each separate application).

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or

 You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by **Friday 29 May 2015**.

If a response is not received by **Friday 29 May 2015** it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151295.

Yours sincerely

Anne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

Response to third party consultation process

Schedules 3 and 4 — Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation

Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151330, 151341, 151345

То:	Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 BRISBANE QLD 4001	
Fax:	07 3006 5929	
Date:		
From:		_ (Name)
		_ (Business name, if applicable)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Address)
application Right to held in the lon received	artment of Justice and Attorney-General (the Decon for access to documents from a number of a Information Act 2009. The applicants seek accesse possession of the department. (date), pursuant to sect a Third Party Consultation letter of 22 May 20 at swas provided to me.	pplicants, made under the ess to certain documents tion 37 of the RTI Act, I/we
Please ti	ick this box if you have no objections to the relea	se of the documents
	ave no objections to the release of the documer	nts to the applicant
Signatur	e: Print name:	

Our reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151341, 151345

Your reference: 219515

20 May 2015

The Hon Tim Carmody CJ Delivered by hand

Dear Chief Justice

Consultation process - Right to Information Act 2009

As you would be aware, the Department of Justice and Attorney-General has received a number of applications for access to documents from the Supreme and District Court and from your office. These applications are detailed in the table below.

Applicant	Request	
Courier-Mail RTI 151295	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Chief Justice's court sitting arrangements.	
Courier-Mail 151297	Correspondence between Chief Justice Tim Carmody and judges relating to the Court of Disputed Returns and the electorate of Ferny Grove.	
The Australian RTI 151326	Minutes of all meetings of Supreme Court judges, with or without their District Court colleagues, excluding any case-related information, for the period 31 January to 27 March 2015.	
ABC RTI 151327	Emails and/or correspondence and/or documents regarding discussions between the Chief Justice Tim Carmody and John Byrne and/or other judges regarding: 1.The appointment process for the Court of Disputed Returns 2.The workload of the Chief Justice and his appearance on the Supreme Court trial division calendar.	
Guardian Australia RTI 151328	All communications between judicial officers relating to the process of appointment of a judge to the court of disputed returns.	
Seven Network RTI 151329	Any documents, including briefing notes and correspondence involving Chief Justice Tim Carmody, such as emails, since 31 January 2015, relating to: 1.The seat of Ferny Grove 2.The possibility of a challenge in the seat of Ferny Grove. Please exclude duplicates, documents that have already been publicly released, media reports/articles/releases and correspondence with media.	
Alex McKean RTI 151341	Subject matter of the documents the applicant is seeking: 1. The Court of Disputed Returns ('the Court') 2. The constitution of the Court 3. The roster for selecting the Justice who would sit as the Court 4. Any change, or proposal to change, the roster, or the roster system 5. Any proposal that the Chief Justice sit at the Court himself, or select who would sit as the Court 6. Any communication, or attempted communication, with any Justice on the roster about their role, or anticipated role, sitting as the Court 7. The position of the Senior Judge Administrator 8. Any proposal to remove, or attempt to remove, the Senior Judge Administrator 9. Any action taken to remove, or attempt to remove the Senior Judge Administrator.	
Courier-Mail RTI 151345	I am seeking any correspondence and/or documents shared between judicial officers on the topic of the Court of Disputed Returns and sitting following the election in 2015. Specifically I would seek any documents and/or correspondence between 1 January 2015 and 30 March 2015. I am very interested in any correspondence from 13 February 2015. Please include reports, statistics and audits (if appropriate). Please exclude duplicates, media clippings and any information that has already been made publicly available.	

The RTI Act gives the community a right of access to information held by the Queensland Government, subject only to limited exceptions. Where an application is received for documents which, if released, could reasonably be expected to be of concern to another person, the department is required under section 37 of the RTI Act to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to obtain the views of the person concerned as to whether or not the matter in the documents contain any exempt information or contrary to public interest information.

I have formed the opinion that you may be concerned by the release of documents which I have detailed in the attached schedule, and which I have included for your consideration.

If you wish, you may object to the release of the information in question. Any concerns you raise will then be taken into account when a decision is made as to whether the information is to be released.

The RTI Act requires the department to release information unless it demonstrates that the information is either exempt from release, or that its release is contrary to the public interest. I have attached copies of schedules 3 and 4 of the RTI Act which outlines the conditions under which we may or may not release information.

While the conditions that apply to RTI applications are complicated, you do not need to be an RTI expert to exercise your lawful rights under the RTI Act. Rather, you have three options available to you:

- If you have no objections to the release of the consulted documents please complete the attached form and return to me; or
- You may advise in writing any explanation of concerns you may have. It is desirable
 that you provide supporting arguments as it will assist us in understanding the nature of
 your concerns. However, such arguments are not essential. This agency will then
 apply those concerns to the provisions in the RTI Act that it considers are relevant; or
- You may provide a written submission citing sections of the RTI Act that you consider relevant, accompanied by detailed supporting arguments.

If you object to the release of the information, it would be appreciated if, where possible, you specifically identify the information about which you are concerned (for example, page number, paragraph number).

Timeframes

To enable a decision to be made within the time prescribed by the RTI Act, it would be appreciated if you could advise me of your views in writing by 27 May 2015.

If a response is not received by **27 May 2015**, it will be assumed that you have no objections to the release of the documents and a decision will be made accordingly. If there is any difficulty in your meeting this deadline, please contact this office to arrange an alternative due date.

Disclosure Log

The Department of Justice and Attorney-General is obliged under the legislation to notify you that information released under the RTI Act must also be considered for publication in a disclosure log. The department's disclosure log provides details of information released in accordance with sections 78 and 78B of the RTI Act. Documents published in the disclosure log can be accessed at: http://www.justice.qld.gov.au/corporate/accessing-departmental-information/disclosure-log

Review Rights

If it is decided to release the documents against your wishes, you will be advised of the decision and you will have 20 business days after the date on the decision letter to request a review of the decision. The documents in question will not be disclosed to the applicant until the period of 20 business days has passed, or the avenues of review have been exhausted. I will enclose further details of your rights to review if I make such a decision.

For further enquiries please contact this office on telephone number 3239 3439 quoting reference number 151327.

Yours sincerely

Ahne Edwards

Director

Right to Information and Privacy Unit

Attachments

- Response to third party consultation process
- Schedules 3 and 4 Right to Information Act 2009 Note these pages are to be printed from the current legislation
- Consultation documents

Response to Third Party Consultation Process

under the

Right to Information Act 2009

JAG reference: 151295, 151297, 151326, 151327, 151328, 151329, 151341, 151345 To: Right to Information and Privacy Unit Department of Justice and Attorney-General GPO Box 149 **BRISBANE QLD 4001** Fax: 07 3006 5929 Date: _____ (Name) From: (Business name, if applicable) (Address) The Department of Justice and Attorney-General (the Department) has received several applications for access to documents under the Right to Information Act 2009. The applicants seek access to certain documents held in the possession of the department. On _____ (date), pursuant to section 37 of the RTI Act, I received a Third Party Consultation letter of 19 May 2015. A copy of each of the documents was provided to me. Please tick this box if you have no objections to the release of the documents I have no objections to the release of the documents to the applicant

Print name:

Signature: