

Justice Atkinson

From: Justice Atkinson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 2:22 AM
To: Justice Jackson

Dear David

Sch.4/3/3 Sch.4/3/3 I think we probably need to informally discuss your proposed resolutions with as many of our colleagues as possible and then call a meeting either of the trial division (without Byrne J) or of the whole court to discuss and vote on them.

What do you think?

I'll be back on Thursday.

Regards
Roslyn

Justice Atkinson

From: Justice Jackson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 10:06 AM
To: Chief Justice Carmody; Justice A Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLYons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North
Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm
Attachments: Draft Resolutions.docx

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

Justice Atkinson

From: Justice Atkinson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 12:23 PM
To: Justice Jackson
Subject: Re: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

You have acted with great courage and integrity David. And the reaction to your email?
Roslyn

From: Justice Jackson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 10:05:58 AM
To: Chief Justice Carmody; Justice A Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLYons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North
Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

Justice Atkinson

From: Justice Atkinson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 5:13 PM
To: Justice Jackson
Subject: Re:

Can I speak to you:

Roslyn

From: Justice Jackson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 9:23:29 AM
To: Justice Atkinson
Subject: RE:

Roslyn

I have spoken to all our colleagues on the Trial Division, except North J who has not yet responded to my message or call, and Thomas J who I am following up this morning. All are generally in favour of the resolutions. Two think resolution 2 is unnecessary.

I propose that the meeting be tomorrow at 1:15 pm and chaired by you as the senior Judge of the Trial Division who is not the subject of any of the proposed resolutions.

Regards

David

From: Justice Atkinson
Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 2:22 AM
To: Justice Jackson
Subject:

Dear David

s.49(1) s.49(1) I think we probably need to informally discuss your proposed resolutions with as many of our colleagues as possible and then call a meeting either of the trial division (without Byrne J) or of the whole court to discuss and vote on them.

What do you think?

I'll be back on Thursday.

Regards
Roslyn

Justice Atkinson

From: Justice Atkinson
Sent: Tuesday, 24 February 2015 11:31 AM
To: DL-Supreme Court Judges
Subject: Reply to memo by Chief Justice
Attachments: Memo to CJ from Atkinson and Jackson JJ dated 23 Feb 2015.doc

Dear colleagues

For the sake of completeness I enclose a copy of the memo sent by Jackson and I to the Chief Justice yesterday in reply to his memo to us of 20 February 2015.

Regards

Roslyn

The Hon Justice Roslyn Atkinson AO
Supreme Court of Queensland
415 George Street
Brisbane.
PO Box 15167
City East 4002
Queensland
Australia.
Phone: 07 3406 2122
Facsimile: 07 3229 9568
Email: justice.atkinson@courts.qld.gov.au