#### Justice MAMcMurdo

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Monday, 9 February 2015 11:36 AM

To:

Justice Martin; Justice Byrne; Justice Jackson; Justice PDMcMurdo

Subject:

RE: Scan - memo 2 February 2015

John - I can attend if you want me to do so.

Margaret

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

----Original Message-----From: Justice Martin

Sent: Monday, 9 February 2015 9:27 AM

To: Justice Byrne; Justice Jackson; Justice PDMcMurdo

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: RE: Scan - memo 2 February 2015

Lunchtime today?

#### Glenn

The Hon. Justice Glenn Martin AM Supreme Court of Queensland 415 George Street Brisbane Australia 4000

t +61 7 3247.4385 f +61 7 3224.4217

----Original Message-----From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Monday, 9 February 2015 9:07 AM

To: Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice PDMcMurdo

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: FW: Scan - memo 2 February 2015

May we please discuss the attached which, despite the date, reached my EA on Friday.

#### John

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 9:58 AM Justice Byrne; Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

To: Cc:

Chief Justice Carmody

Subject:

RE: Supreme Court "Statement"?

John – I know nothing of such statement. It does not accord with our long established practice with which those who practise in this area of the law are familiar. I think the statement should be corrected.

Margaret

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 8:47 AM

To: Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: Supreme Court "Statement"?

David, Jean,

The Australian carries a report today that includes:

"A statement from the
Supreme Court said the
"constitution of the Court of
Disputed Returns is a matter for
the Chief Justice under the
Electoral Act. He will consider
the issue if and when it arises".

I know nothing of any such statement.

John

### Justice MAMcMurdo

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 11:42 AM

To:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Cc: Subject: Justice Dalton; Justice Boddice RE: Supreme Court "Statement"?

Margaret,

Should Julie Steel be asked whether she knows anything about a statement?

John

Justice John H Byrne AO RFD Senior Judge Administrator Supreme Court of Queensland PO Box 15167 City East Qld 4002 Ph (07) 3247 4282 Fx (07) 3224 4217

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 9:58 AM To: Justice Byrne; Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: RE: Supreme Court "Statement"?

John – I know nothing of such statement. It does not accord with our long established practice with which those who practise in this area of the law are familiar. I think the statement should be corrected.

Margaret

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 8:47 AM

To: Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: Supreme Court "Statement"?

David, Jean,

The Australian carries a report today that includes:

"A statement from the Supreme Court said the "constitution of the Court of Disputed Returns is a matter for the Chief Justice under the Electoral Act. He will consider the issue if and when it arises".

I know nothing of any such statement.

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 11:45 AM

To:

**DL-Supreme Court Judges** 

Subject: Attachments:

: Minutes - Meeting of Judges from both Divisions of the Court - 10 Feb 2015 Minutes - Meeting of Judges from both Divisions of the Court - 10 Feb 2015.doc

Good day,

Attached are minutes of the meeting of the Divisions yesterday.

John

#### **CONFIDENTIAL TO THE JUDGES**

#### **MINUTES**

# MEETING OF THE JUDGES OF BOTH DIVISIONS OF THE COURT

### Common Room, 10 February 2015, 9.00 am

Chairs

McMurdo P and Byrne SJA

Attendees

Fraser JA Holmes JA

Gotterson JA
Philippides JA
Atkinson J
Mullins J

P McMurdo J

Douglas J A Lyons J Daubney J Martin J

McMeekin J (by telephone)

P Lyons J Boddice J Dalton J

Henry J (by AV link)

Jackson J Thomas J Flanagan J Burns J

**Apology** 

North J

### 1. Court of Disputed Returns



```
Sch. 2/2(1)
Sch.2/2(1)
```



McMURDO P BYRNE SJA

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 11:54 AM

To:

Chief Justice Carmody

Cc:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject:

Minutes - Meeting of Judges from both Divisions of the Court - 10 Feb 2015

**Attachments:** 

Minutes - Meeting of Judges from both Divisions of the Court - 10 Feb 2015.doc

Tim,

You already have the attached minutes.

May I draw your attention in particular to paragraph 5.

John

Justice John H Byrne AO RFD Senior Judge Administrator Supreme Court of Queensland PO Box 15167 City East Qld 4002 Ph (07) 3247 4282 Fx (07) 3224 4217

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 11:45 AM

To: DL-Supreme Court Judges

Subject: : Minutes - Meeting of Judges from both Divisions of the Court - 10 Feb 2015

Good day,

Attached are minutes of the meeting of the Divisions yesterday.

John

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice Daubney

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 2:02 PM

To:

Justice Byrne

Cc:

DL-Trial Division Judges; Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject:

Report in today's Australian

John,

I refer to the report in today's "Australian" (p 4) in which it is said: "A statement from the Supreme Court said the 'constitution of the Court of Disputed Returns is a matter for the Chief Justice under the Electoral Act. He will consider the issue if and when it arises'."

Is it known whether a statement was publicly issued which purported to be made on behalf of "the Supreme Court", and if so who issued that statement?

The last time I looked, I am a member of the Supreme Court and I certainly did not authorise the issuing of such a statement. Nor did any of our colleagues with whom I have spoken.

A clarification would be much appreciated.

Kind regards, Martin

#### HON JUSTICE MARTIN DAUBNEY



Queen Elizabeth II Courts of Law 415 George Street Brisbane 4000 Australia

T: +61 (0)7 3247 929

E: Justice.Daubney@courts.gld.gov.au

### Justice Byrne

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 2:16 PM

To:

Justice Daubney

Cc:

DL-Trial Division Judges; Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject:

RE: Report in today's Australian

Martin,

I do not know who, if anyone, was responsible for the statement.

I shall copy this response to the Chief Justice in case he may know the facts and respond to your concerns.

John

Justice John H Byrne AO RFD Senior Judge Administrator Supreme Court of Queensland PO Box 15167 City East Qld 4002 Ph (07) 3247 4282 Fx (07) 3224 4217

From: Justice Daubney

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 2:02 PM

To: Justice Byrne

Cc: DL-Trial Division Judges; Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: Report in today's Australian

John,

I refer to the report in today's "Australian" (p 4) in which it is said: "A statement from the Supreme Court said the 'constitution of the Court of Disputed Returns is a matter for the Chief Justice under the Electoral Act. He will consider the issue if and when it arises'."

Is it known whether a statement was publicly issued which purported to be made on behalf of "the Supreme Court", and if so who issued that statement?

The last time I looked, I am a member of the Supreme Court and I certainly did not authorise the issuing of such a statement. Nor did any of our colleagues with whom I have spoken.

A clarification would be much appreciated.

Kind regards, Martin

HON JUSTICE MARTIN DAUBNEY

### Justice MAMcMurdo

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 5:15 PM

To: DL-Trial Division Judges

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: FW: Rockhampton Circuit commencing 23 February 2015

**Attachments:** 20150211170305340.pdf

Good day,

I attach the Chief Justice's response to the email below.

John

Justice John H Byrne AO RFD Senior Judge Administrator Supreme Court of Queensland PO Box 15167 City East Qld 4002 Ph (07) 3247 4282 Fx (07) 3224 4217

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 1:48 PM

To: Chief Justice Tim Carmody (ChiefJustice.Carmody@courts.qld.gov.au)
Cc: Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton; Justice McMeekin; Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: Rockhampton Circuit commencing 23 February 2015

Sch.2/2(1)

Sch.2/2(1)

Sch.2/2(1)

John

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 18 February 2015 11:39 AM

To:

Justice Jackson

Cc:

Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice

**Philippides** 

Subject:

FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

**Attachments:** 

**Draft Resolutions.docx** 

#### Dear David

The first two resolutions you propose are plainly relevant to the whole of the Court. It is arguable that the matters in the third and fourth resolutions are linked to the matters raised by first two resolutions.

Is there some reason why the Court of Appeal judges should not attend this meeting?

Best wishes

Margaret

Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

#### Justice MAMcMurdo

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 18 February 2015 4:36 PM

To:

Justice Jackson

Subject:

RE: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Thanks David

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC

President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Jackson

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 3:23 PM

To: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: RE: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Margaret

In my view, there is no reason why the Court of Appeal Judges should not do so, in particular about Resolution 1 or Resolution 2. However, I have consulted all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice so far on the basis that those I had invited were the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice. I did so because I was concerned that if there were any dispute about Proposed Resolutions 1 or 2 some of the Judges of Appeal may be concerned as to whether they should participate. Perhaps mistakenly, I took the view that there might not be enough time to resolve any question of that kind.

At this time, I have invited all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice for the meeting at 1:15 pm tomorrow and spoken to each of those Judges and the Chief Justice of my reasons for doing so (except for North J who was unavailable and Wilson J who I have communicated with by email). I should add that I informed the Chief Justice that I had communicated with the members of the Trial Division (except for North J) and did not mention any involvement of the members of the Court of Appeal.

Regards

David Jackson

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 11:39 AM

To: Justice Jackson

Cc: Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice Philippides

Subject: FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Dear David

The first two resolutions you propose are plainly relevant to the whole of the Court. It is arguable that the matters in the third and fourth resolutions are linked to the matters raised by first two resolutions.

Is there some reason why the Court of Appeal judges should not attend this meeting?

Best wishes

Margaret

Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North

Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

#### Justice MAMcMurdo

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 4:59 PM

To: Justice PDMcMurdo

Subject: FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

FYI

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Jackson

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 3:23 PM

To: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: RE: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Margaret

In my view, there is no reason why the Court of Appeal Judges should not do so, in particular about Resolution 1 or Resolution 2. However, I have consulted all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice so far on the basis that those I had invited were the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice. I did so because I was concerned that if there were any dispute about Proposed Resolutions 1 or 2 some of the Judges of Appeal may be concerned as to whether they should participate. Perhaps mistakenly, I took the view that there might not be enough time to resolve any question of that kind.

At this time, I have invited all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice for the meeting at 1:15 pm tomorrow and spoken to each of those Judges and the Chief Justice of my reasons for doing so (except for North J who was unavailable and Wilson J who I have communicated with by email). I should add that I informed the Chief Justice that I had communicated with the members of the Trial Division (except for North J) and did not mention any involvement of the members of the Court of Appeal.

Regards

**David Jackson** 

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 11:39 AM

To: Justice Jackson

Cc: Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice Philippides

Subject: FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Dear David

The first two resolutions you propose are plainly relevant to the whole of the Court. It is arguable that the matters in the third and fourth resolutions are linked to the matters raised by first two resolutions.

is there some reason why the Court of Appeal judges should not attend this meeting?

Best wishes

Margaret

Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North

Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

### Justice MAMcMurdo

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 18 February 2015 6:11 PM

To:

Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice

**Philippides** 

Subject:

FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

FYI

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Jackson

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 3:23 PM

To: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: RE: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Margaret

In my view, there is no reason why the Court of Appeal Judges should not do so, in particular about Resolution 1 or Resolution 2. However, I have consulted all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice so far on the basis that those I had invited were the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice. I did so because I was concerned that if there were any dispute about Proposed Resolutions 1 or 2 some of the Judges of Appeal may be concerned as to whether they should participate. Perhaps mistakenly, I took the view that there might not be enough time to resolve any question of that kind.

At this time, I have invited all the Judges of the Trial Division and the Chief Justice for the meeting at 1:15 pm tomorrow and spoken to each of those Judges and the Chief Justice of my reasons for doing so (except for North J who was unavailable and Wilson J who I have communicated with by email). I should add that I informed the Chief Justice that I had communicated with the members of the Trial Division (except for North J) and did not mention any involvement of the members of the Court of Appeal.

#### Regards

#### David Jackson

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 11:39 AM

To: Justice Jackson

Cc: Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice Philippides

Subject: FW: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Dear David

The first two resolutions you propose are plainly relevant to the whole of the Court. It is arguable that the matters in the third and fourth resolutions are linked to the matters raised by first two resolutions.

Is there some reason why the Court of Appeal judges should not attend this meeting?

Best wishes

Margaret

Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North

Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are available.

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Friday, 20 February 2015 2:16 PM

To:

Justice Holmes; Justice Fraser; Justice Gotterson; Justice Morrison; Justice

**Philippides** 

Subject:

FW: Response from CJ to resolutions passed by the Trial Division

**Attachments:** 

20150220104900765.pdf

FYI

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

-----Original Message-----From: Justice Atkinson

Sent: Friday, 20 February 2015 1:02 PM

To: Justice Jackson; Chief Justice Carmody; Justice A Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin; Justice North

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: FW:

Dear Chief Justice and Judges

I attach a memo sent to Jackson J and me as a result of our giving the resolutions passed at our meeting yesterday to the Chief Justice.

Regards

Roslyn

The Hon Justice Roslyn Atkinson AO Supreme Court of Queensland 415 George Street Brisbane. PO Box 15167 City East 4002 Queensland

Australia. Phone: 07 3406 2122

Facsimile: 07 3229 9568

Email: justice.atkinson@courts.qld.gov.au

----Original Message----

From: ricoh@justice.qld.gov.au [mailto:ricoh@justice.qld.gov.au]

Sent: Friday, 20 February 2015 10:49 AM

To: Justice Atkinson

Subject:

This E-mail was sent from "JP40914" (Aficio MP 5001).

Scan Date: 20.02.2015 10:49:00 (+1000) Queries to: ricoh@justice.qld.gov.au

### **Justice MAMcMurdo**

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Friday, 20 February 2015 2:57 PM

To:

Justice Atkinson

Subject:

FW: Supreme Court "Statement"?

FYI

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 9:58 AM
To: Justice Byrne; Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: RE: Supreme Court "Statement"?

John – I know nothing of such statement. It does not accord with our long established practice with which those who practise in this area of the law are familiar. I think the statement should be corrected.

Margaret

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 8:47 AM

To: Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: Supreme Court "Statement"?

David, Jean,

The Australian carries a report today that includes:

"A statement from the Supreme Court said the "constitution of the Court of Disputed Returns is a matter for the Chief Justice under the Electoral Act. He will consider the issue if and when it arises".

I know nothing of any such statement.

John

### Justice MAMcMurdo

From:

Justice Atkinson

Sent:

Monday, 23 February 2015 4:31 PM

To:

DL-Supreme Court Judges

Subject:

FW: Minutes 19 Feb 2015

Attachments:

Minutes 19 Feb 2015.doc

l attach minutes of the meeting of the trial division held on 19 February 2015.

Regards

Roslyn

The Hon Justice Roslyn Atkinson AO Supreme Court of Queensland 415 George Street Brisbane.
PO Box 15167
City East 4002
Queensland Australia.

Phone: 07 3406 2122 Facsimile: 07 3229 9568

Email: justice.atkinson@courts.qld.gov.au

26 November 2014

Chief Justice

It is usual at a December meeting of Judges each year to appoint Judges to the Court of Disputed Returns, it is usually the next two Judges in order of seniority per the following suggested agenda item:

"Appointments to the Court of Disputed Returns

Court of Disputed Returns (composition not publicized) s. 127 Electoral Act
Judges decided November 1995 to select two Judges each calendar year. The two most senior Judges who have not recently heard a case in this Court should be listed Judges.
Senior Judge of the two will have the primary responsibility to

Appointments necessary for 2015: presently P Lyons J and A Wilson J. Next in senionty Boddice J and Dalton J\*

Also the Judges' Committee is sometimes an agenda item in December, but that may not be necessary as Gotterson JA and Philippides J are recent appointments – see attached extract from document "Judges on Board and Tribunals.

I wonder if you wish to place the Item/s on the Trial Division Judges' meeting agenda? Or whether you wish to seek Judges' agreement by email?

Thank you Marie

317

### **Justice Byrne**

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 3 December 2014 4:40 PM

To:

Chief Justice Carmody

Cc:

Marie Bergwever, Justice MAMcMurdo; Justice Dalton; Justice Boddice

Subject:

Court of Disputed Returns

Tim,

At the meeting of the Trial Division on 3 December, it was proposed that the two judges who might be nominated pursuant to s.137(3) of the Electoral Act 1992 to constitute the Court of Disputed Returns for 2015 are Boddice J and Dalton J, with Boddice J having primary responsibility to sit and Dalton J to sit if Boddice J cannot.

John

### **Justice Byrne**

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 3 December 2014 5:37 PM

To: Cc: Justice Byrne; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject:

Marie Bergwever; Justice Dalton; Justice Boddice RE: Court of Disputed Returns

The Court of Appeal judges are also happy with that course, Tim.

Margaret

----Original Message----

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 3 December 2014 4:40 PM

To: Chief Justice Carmody

Cc: Marie Bergwever; Justice MAMcMurdo; Justice Dalton; Justice Boddice

Subject: Court of Disputed Returns

Tim,

At the meeting of the Trial Division on 3 December, it was proposed that the two judges who might be nominated pursuant to s.137(3) of the Electoral Act 1992 to constitute the Court of Disputed Returns for 2015 are Boddice J and Dalton J, with Boddice J having primary responsibility to sit and Dalton J to sit if Boddice J cannot.

John

$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{T}$	I 1 <i>511</i>	חחר	$\Lambda 1$	<b>Page</b>	11
_		<u> </u>		PACE	41
			<u> </u>	<u>. uyc</u>	

			٠													-							7- 7	
•	U.																							
-	VACANCY/ACTING																							
	CANC			-								**												
	VA																							
		ges				<del></del>							·				<u> </u>		<u>.                                    </u>	<del>-</del>				
	ENT	s. 127 Electoral Act Judges decided Nov. 1995 to select 2 Judges	who have not recently heard a case in this Court should be listed Judges. Senior Judge																					
	MODE OF APPOINTMENT	5 to sele	most ser rd a case ges. Ser	imary																				
	T APP	ct   ov. 199	ntly hea sted Jud	e the pr t.																				
	ODE O	ctoral A	not rece ild be li	will hay ity to si																				
	W	s. 127 Electoral Act Judges decided Nov	to have pour	of the two will have the primary responsibility to sit.																				
				re of												·			<b></b>				· .	4
	M							<b>.</b>	ry out	Jouglas 11)	2													
	TERM	'ear			y J	ls J	oides J	P D McMurdo J	A Lyons J (carry out	the rote when Douglas  J is away in 2011)		is J I Vo	· ,	arth J	,-,	ilson J	<u> </u>							
		One year		2009   Afkinson I	Dutney J	Mullins J	Philippides J 2011	P D M	A Lyon	J is aw	2012	A Lyons J Daubney J	2013 Martin J	Applegarth J	P Lyons J	Alan Wilson 2015	Boddice J Dalton J							
	PAST S		ngton	II to	JJ (or	tof	- 			3	n JJ	- tt ı	. It s						<u></u>					<del></del>
	PRESENT AND PAST NOMINEES	and n JJ	1997 Williams and Derrington JJ	1998 de Jersey and Byrne JJ	Byrne and Dowsett JJ (or Mackenzie J in absence	of Dowsett J - result of	appointed CJ – 1998)	hite J)	2000 Frvberg and Helman 11		Muir and Chesterman JJ	2002 Wilson and Atkinson JJ	2003 Holmes & Philippides JJ	2004 McMurdo & Douglas II		4 and	/hite J		Fryberg J and Helman J 2007 (30 June)	Muir J				
	SESEN' NON	1996 Moynihan and Shepherdson JJ	7 Iiams ar	8 ersey ar	ne and I kenzie	owsett.	ue Jersey J being appointed CJ – 19	1999 Byrne (or White J)	) erø and	0	and Ch	n and	ies & PE	urdo &		Moynihan SJA and Mackenzie J	2006 Byrne J and White J		Fryberg J and E 2007 (30 June)	Fryberg J and Muir J	(from Nov 07) Fryberg J and	Chesterman J 2008	rman J	٠,
_	<u> </u>	1996 Moyn Sheph	1997 	1998 de Jer	Byr. Mac	ofD	appc	1999   Byrne	2000   Frvbe	2001	Muir	Wilso	Holm	2004 McM	2005	Moyn   Mack	2006 Byrne	2007	Fryber   2007 (	Fryber	(from Fryber	Cheste 2008	Chesterman J	v nosn w
	NO.	keturns																	·					
	SPECIAL JURISDICTION	puted F	ı each				•									•								
	JURI	Court of Disputed Returns F63 Action:	Agenda Item each December																					
		Court o F63 Action:	Agen Dece																					

Judges on Boards and Tribunals As at 2 February 2015

### Justice Byrne

From:

Justice MAMcMurdo

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 9:58 AM Justice Byrne; Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

To: Cc:

Chief Justice Carmody

Subject:

RE: Supreme Court "Statement"?

John – I know nothing of such statement. It does not accord with our long established practice with which those who practise in this area of the law are familiar. I think the statement should be corrected. Margaret

The Hon Justice Margaret McMurdo AC
President, Court of Appeal, Supreme Court of Queensland

From: Justice Byrne

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 8:47 AM

To: Justice Boddice; Justice Dalton

Cc: Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

Subject: Supreme Court "Statement"?

David, Jean,

The Australian carries a report today that includes:

"A statement from the
Supreme Court said the
"constitution of the Court of
Disputed Returns is a matter for
the Chief Justice under the
Electoral Act. He will consider
the issue if and when it arises".

I know nothing of any such statement.

John

### Justice Byrne

From:

Justice Byrne

Sent:

Wednesday, 11 February 2015 2:16 PM

To:

Justice Daubney

Cc: Subject:

DL-Trial Division Judges; Justice MAMcMurdo; Chief Justice Carmody

RE: Report in today's Australian

Martin,

I do not know who, if anyone, was responsible for the statement.

I shall copy this response to the Chief Justice in case he may know the facts and respond to your concerns.

John

Justice John H Byrne AO RFD Senior Judge Administrator Supreme Court of Queensland PO Box 15167 City East Qld 4002 Ph (07) 3247 4282 Fx (07) 3224 4217

From: Justice Daubney

Sent: Wednesday, 11 February 2015 2:02 PM

To: Justice Byrne

Cc: DL-Trial Division Judges; Justice MAMcMurdo

Subject: Report in today's Australian

John,

I refer to the report in today's "Australian" (p 4) in which it is said: "A statement from the Supreme Court said the 'constitution of the Court of Disputed Returns is a matter for the Chief Justice under the Electoral Act. He will consider the issue if and when it arises'."

Is it known whether a statement was publicly issued which purported to be made on behalf of "the Supreme Court", and if so who issued that statement?

The last time I looked, I am a member of the Supreme Court and I certainly did not authorise the issuing of such a statement. Nor did any of our colleagues with whom I have spoken.

A clarification would be much appreciated.

Kind regards, Martin

HON JUSTICE MARTIN DAUBNEY

### **Justice Atkinson**

From:

Justice Atkinson

Sent:

Thursday, 19 February 2015 9:09 AM

To:

Justice Jackson; Chief Justice Carmody; Justice A Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice McMeekin;

Justice North

Subject:

RE: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

#### Dear colleagues

I agree that we should meet to discuss the proposals. The meeting time of 1.15 today appears to suit most. I accept that in the circumstances I will have to chair the meeting. Accordingly could you let me know if you are unable to

Regards

Roslyn

The Hon Justice Roslyn Atkinson AO Supreme Court of Queensland 415 George Street Brisbane. PO Box 15167 City East 4002 Queensland Australia.

Phone: 07 3406 2122 Facsimile: 07 3229 9568

Email: justice.atkinson@courts.qld.gov.au

From: Justice Jackson

Sent: Wednesday, 18 February 2015 10:06 AM

To: Chief Justice Carmody; Justice A Lyons; Justice Alan Wilson; Justice Applegarth; Justice Atkinson; Justice Boddice; Justice Burns; Justice Byrne; Justice Dalton; Justice Daubney; Justice Douglas; Justice Flanagan; Justice Jackson; Justice Martin; Justice Mullins; Justice PDMcMurdo; Justice PLyons; Justice Thomas; Justice Henry; Justice

McMeekin; Justice North

Subject: Proposed Meeting - 19 February 2015 @ 1:15 pm

Dear Chief Justice and Judges,

As most of you are aware (and my apologies to those with whom I have not been able to raise the question personally yesterday afternoon or this morning) I am proposing that there be a meeting of Judges to consider proposed resolutions in accordance with the draft that I attach. I propose that the meeting be at 1:15 pm tomorrow in the Judges' Meeting Room. That will enable the regional Judges to attend by video or audio link, if they are

I have not yet spoken to the Chief Justice, Justice Wilson, Justice North or Justice Thomas, but will seek to meet with or talk to them asap.

However, I did not consider that I could defer nominating the time for the meeting any later as you all have your own arrangements. In my view, something must be done immediately about the current situation in respect of

RTI 151329 - File 01 - Page 66
proposed resolutions 3 and 4, and resolutions 1 and 2 are of such general importance that they should not be put off. Secondly, I am aware that some of the most important participants are otherwise engaged next week.

I would suggest that Atkinson J, as the Senior Judge not directly involved in the proposed resolutions, should take the Chair.

Yours faithfully

David Jackson